

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	THE PARTY OF THE P	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		NEOGEN 1 (P2) C1	3500	
09/662,749	09/15/2000	Richard M. Kris	NEOGEN 1 (12) 41		
23599	7590 04/09/2003		CYAM	NICD	
MILLEN W	HITE ZELANO & B	EXAMINER			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			SIEW, JEFFREY		
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	$\overline{}$	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	· / /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)		
		09/662,749		KRIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Jeffrey Siew		1637		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
	Donly					
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failum - Any re earmer	PREPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR  IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATES  Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicates  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it  ply received by the Office later than three months after the  dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, stion. ys, a reply within the statutor y period will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be ti y minimum of thirty (30) da cpire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	mely filed ys will be considered tim n the mailing date of this FN (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.	
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 February 200</u> 3	<u>3</u> .			
1)[\]	Tite action in FINAL 2b)	This action is no	on-final.			
2a)☐ 3)☐	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	- allowonco evcent f	or formal matters.	orosecution as to 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is	
ispositi	on of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) 1-10 and 15-56 is/are pending	g in the application.	موناهم والمادي			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 53-</u>	56 is/are withdrawn 1	rom consideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-52 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.			
	ion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the E	-xaminer.	ented or b) object	ed to by the Exan	niner.	
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2	2000 Is/are: a) \ acc	he held in abevance.	See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any object The proposed drawing correction filed of	igon to the drawing(s)	proved b) disap	proved by the Exa	miner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed of	irod in reply to this Off	ice action.	•		
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	w the Examiner.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	or foreign priority UD	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or loreign priority an	del 00 0.0.0.			
а	) All b) Some * c) None of:	anto boyo bee	n received			
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee	n received in Appli	cation No.	. •	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
4	application from the interna	for a list of the certi	fied copies not rec	eived.		
14\	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. 9 1	19(e) (to a provis	ional application).	
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign land     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	guade provisional at	polication has been	Teceived.		
Attachm					ner No(s).	
1 a 1 1	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) aper No(s) <b>5 / 6</b> .	Interview Sun     Notice of Info     Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Par rmal Patent Applicatio	on (PTO-152)	
	nd Trademark Office	Office Action Summ	9FV	1	Part of Paper No. 12	

Art Unit: 1637

## DETAILED ACTION

## **Location of Application**

1. The location of the subject application has changed. The subject application is now located in Group 1630, Art Unit 1637, and is assigned to Patent Examiner Jeffrey Siew.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would be no undue burden to search. This is not found persuasive because Group I is drawn to methods of mass spectrometry, Group II to method of detecting nucleic acids and Group III drawn to kits. Each group may be performed using a different materially product or the kit products may be used in different methods. The search would create undue burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-10 & 53-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 11.

### Priority

3. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant

Art Unit: 1637

application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. **The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included.** If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The

Art Unit: 1637

petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be directed to the Office of Petitions, Box DAC, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

4. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

APPLICANT IS GIVEN THE RESPONSE PERIOD SET FORTH IN THIS OFFICE ACTION IN WHICH TO COMPLY WITH THE SEQUENCE RULES, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136. In no case may an applicant extend the period for response beyond the six month statutory period. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the response.

Art Unit: 1637

# NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

- 1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
- 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).

# **Applicant Must Provide:**

- An initial or <u>substitute</u> computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
- $\mathcal{A}$  An initial or <u>substitute</u> paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
- A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/662,749

Art Unit: 1637

## Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains two paragraphs.. Correction to one paragraph. is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## **Double Patenting**

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 15-28,30-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11,13-37 of prior U.S. Patent No.6,232,066. This is a double patenting rejection. Claim 1 of US 6,232,066 recites an active step of detecting. Although claim 15 of the instant application does not recite the active step, the preamble recites a step of detecting. The claim 1 of

Art Unit: 1637

the instant application must involve a detection step. Even claims slightly worded differently may still define the same invention (see MPEP 804). The instant claim 15 claims the same invention as claim 1 of US6,232,066. Claim 19 of the instant application recites the same invention as claim 2 of US 6,232,066. Claim 52 of the instant application recites the same invention as claim 37 of US 6,232,066

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 29 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,232,066 in view of Kumar (US5,770,370 June 23, 1998). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim 29 are drawn to the method wherein anchor oligonucleotides that are 30-50 nucleotides.

Claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,232,066 are drawn to the method wherein the anchor oligonucleotides are 0-50 nucleotides.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/662,749

Art Unit: 1637

Kumar teach oligonucleotides of about 30 nucleotides successfully bind with specificity for nuclease protection assays.(see whole doc. esp.example 1).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply teaching of Kumar et al's anchored oligonucleotides of the lower range 30 nucleotides to claim 12 method of US 6,232,066 in order to hybridize target sample with greater efficiency and specificity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-18,20-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) Claims 15-18,20-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps.

See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: detecting target.

Art Unit: 1637

#### **SUMMARY**

9. No claims allowed.

#### **CONCLUSION**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Siew whose telephone number is (703) 305-3886 and whose e-mail address is Jeffrey. Siew@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner is on flex-time schedule and can best be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or filed papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the <u>Tracey Johnson</u> for Art Unit 1637 whose telephone number is (703)-305-2982.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Center numbers for Group 1600 are Voice (703) 308-3290 and Before Final FAX (703) 872-9306 or After Final FAX (703) 30872-9307.

1.5.2002

April 5, 2003